

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/770,427	01/26/2001		Semih Secer	50671-P021US-10016435 7055	
29053	7590	04/14/2005		EXAMINER	
DALLAS (	OFFICE C	OF FULBRIGHT &	JACOBS, LASHONDA T		
2200 ROSS AVENUE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2800 DALLAS, 7		-2784	2157		

DATE MAILED: 04/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/770,427	SECER, SEMIH			
Examiner	Art Unit			
LaShonda T Jacobs	2157			

Before the filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
·	LaShonda T Jacobs	2157							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED March 31, 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or						
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL									
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal (	of the appeal.						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>									
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);									
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	· <del></del>	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-35 and 37-63</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:									

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended portions of the claims changes the scope of the claims as originally presented and will require further search and consideration. The final rejection made on December 14, 2004 was proper. The Examiner did not change the rejections within this action, but only clarified the motivation regarding claims 2-17, 20-22, 26, 36-42, 45-58; 61 and 63.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100